



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BJAST/2252
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of the Residence Time and Throughput in a Single Screw Extrusion of Cassava/African yam bean Mixtures.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	More detailed literature review should be presented. Specific objectives should be listed. Table 1: Is it MC % db or % wb? Line 70 – Specific the method and instrument used. Line 77 – Why the die temp is less than the melt zone? Line 103 – Photo should be deleted. Figures 1-4 are not very clear. Please provide only key information in the statistical tables. How well these results compare with existing literature values?	I agree with the reviewer's comments. I have effected the corrections. The die temperature was less than the melt zone temperature because it was assumed that the product must have cooked at the melt zone and is suppose to be cooler at the collection point.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		